Income, Ethnicity, and Sleep: Coping as a Moderator
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Toward identifying variables that may protect children against sleep problems otherwise associated with ethnic minority status and economic adversity, support coping was examined as a moderator. Participants were 235 children (113 boys, 122 girls; \( M_{\text{age}} = 11.33 \) years, \( SD = 8.03 \) months), 64% European American and 36% African American. Children’s sleep duration (minutes) and continuity (efficiency) were assessed through actigraphs worn for 1 week. Mothers reported on the family’s monetary resources (income-to-needs ratio) and children reported on their support coping strategies. For children from lower income homes and African Americans, a higher level of support coping was a protective factor against fewer sleep minutes and reduced sleep efficiency, otherwise associated with economic adversity. Children from more economically advantaged homes had good sleep parameters regardless of their coping. The results build on the existing small body of work by demonstrating that children’s support coping strategies have a protective role against sleep problems otherwise associated with ethnic minority status and economic adversity and present potential targets for intervention that may help reduce health disparities in an important health domain.
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Sleep duration and continuity are associated with multiple health domains including obesity and risk for cardiometabolic disease (Chen, Beydoun, & Wang, 2008; Knutson, 2012), as well as with cognitive and behavioral functioning (Sadeh, 2007). Insufficient (Pesonen et al., 2010) and poor sleep continuity (Sadeh, Raviv, & Gruber, 2000) are highly prevalent in children. Thus, explication of variables that facilitate or undermine sleep is warranted. Consistent with a health disparities framework (Buckhalt, 2011) and empirical evidence, insufficient sleep and poor sleep continuity are more pronounced for poor and ethnic minority children (for a review, see Gellis, 2011). However, individual differences may be operative and identification of vulnerability and protective factors in these associations can clarify for whom and under which conditions sleep may be more optimal or disrupted. Children’s support coping strategies in response to stress in their lives were examined as moderators of effects in the associations between socioeconomic adversity (SES) and ethnicity (African American or AA, and European American or EA) and sleep.

Sleep was assessed with actigraphs, wristwatch-like devices that monitor activity for sleep-wake assessments. Actigraphy provides noninvasive objective assessments of sleep (in the child’s home in this study) and thus is frequently used in the child sleep literature. Two important actigraphy-based sleep parameters were considered: duration (minutes) and continuity (indexed by efficiency). Both short sleep duration and reduced sleep continuity (i.e., fragmented sleep) have been examined in many studies, and their assessment is of importance for building on this relatively young literature and clarifying the sleep domains that are associated with various predictors and sequelae in children. Herein, the term sleep problems refer to shorter duration (minutes) and poorer sleep continuity (efficiency) relative to other children in the sample. Support coping is defined as seeking social support from people when presented with a stressor (Nicotli, El-Sheikh, & Whitson, 2003; Sandler, Tein, & West, 1994). SES is indexed by the family’s monetary resources using the family income-to-needs ratio (referred to as income for brevity), a standard measure of a family’s economic situation (U.S. Department of Commerce; www.commerce.gov), which accounts for the number of individuals supported by the family income.

Children from lower income homes have shorter sleep duration and poorer sleep continuity (Adam, Snell, & Pendry, 2007; Ivanenko, Crabtree, & Gozal, 2005). Using actigraphic measures of sleep and a composite measure of SES that includes family income, El-Sheikh, Kelly, Buckhalt, and Hinnant (2010) reported
shorter sleep and/or worse sleep continuity in school-age children. Thus, children who live in families with low SES have a disproportionate number and degree of sleep problems than their higher SES counterparts (Buckhalt, 2011).

As with SES, ethnicity has been associated with health disparities for a number of diseases, including asthma, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. Recent work has pointed to sleep as an intervening mechanism in the differential development of these diseases by ethnicity (Kingsbury, Buxton, Emmons, & Redline, 2013). Basing their conclusions on results of the Sleep Heart Health Study, it is thought that poorer sleep of African American adults may be a target for possible disease prevention and intervention (Baldwin et al., 2010). As is the case with adults, AA children show poorer sleep than EA children in a number of studies. Young (2–8-year-old) AA children have been found to sleep fewer hours per night than their EA counterparts (Crosby, LeBourgeois, & Harsh, 2005; Ivanenko, Crabtree, & Gozal, 2005; Montgomery-Downs, Jones, Molfese, & Gozal, 2003). This was also found for older AA children and adolescents (Adam et al., 2007; Spilsbury et al., 2004). There is also evidence that AA children are at greater risk for sleep problems even when economic adversity is controlled. For example, after controlling for SES, AA children tended to have shorter sleep duration and worse sleep continuity than EAs (Buckhalt, El-Sheikh, & Keller, 2007).

Another factor that plays a role in modulating sleep duration and continuity is stress (Charuvastra & Cloitre, 2009; Mezick et al., 2008; Sadeh, 1996; Sadeh & Gruber, 2002; Sadeh, Keinan, & Duon, 2004; Van Reeth et al., 2000). Exposure to acute and chronic stress activates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and enhances alertness, which are both incompatible with sleep (Van Reeth et al., 2000). Multiple studies have documented shorter and/or poorer sleep continuity in response to stress (Lavie, 2001; Sadeh, 1996; Van Reeth et al., 2000). The idea that coping style plays a moderating role in the links between stress and sleep has received empirical support mostly in studies conducted with adults (Gieselman, Ophey, de Jong-Meyer, & Pietrowsky, 2012; Mezick et al., 2009; Sadeh et al., 2004). Perceived social support is a correlate of sleep in adults and has been related to a more consistent sleep schedule (Allgöwer, Wardle, & Steptoe, 2001). Further, greater perceived peer companionship and having someone to confide in are related to fewer actigraphy measured night wakings in adults (Troxel, Baysse, Monk, Begley, & Hall, 2010). Thus, even though perceived social support is a somewhat different construct than the support coping strategies examined in this study, it stands to reason that the latter may also facilitate more optimal sleep. Further, support coping has positive effects on children’s emotional and behavioral adjustment (Nicolotti et al., 2003) and thus it is possible that it will have similar effects on children’s sleep.

This study focused on the proposition that for ethnic minority and low-income children, a higher level of support coping in response to stressors faced in everyday life may function as a protective factor against reduced sleep duration and poor sleep continuity; conversely, a lower level of such coping can function as a vulnerability factor. Identification of such variables that can confer increased risk for or protection from sleep problems in the context of sociocultural adversity may have implications for prevention and intervention.

The Current Investigation

Social support seeking was examined as a moderator of the links between both ethnicity and family income-to-needs ratio and sleep. Sleep parameters examined were actigraphy-based sleep duration (minutes) and an established measure of sleep continuity, namely efficiency. It was expected that a higher level of support coping would function as a protective factor against shorter sleep duration and worse sleep continuity otherwise associated with minority status and economic adversity.

Method

Participants

Participants were 235 children and their mothers (113 boys, 122 girls; M age = 11.33 years, SD = 8.03 months, range = 10.00 to 14.25 years) enrolled in a larger study examining biopsychosocial influences on children’s health. The present investigation is based on data collected during the third study wave in 2011–2012. Most of these children participated in the first study wave (data collected during 2009–2010; no coping measures were administered at that time). Children were recruited from semirural school districts in the Southeastern United States. Exclusion criteria were based on mother’s reports and included a diagnosed sleep disorder or learning disability. Representative of the community, EA and AA children comprised 64% and 36% of the sample, respectively. Regarding mothers’ and fathers’ education, 30% and 45% had a high school diploma or less, 34% and 30% had partial college education, 26% and 18% had a college degree, and 10% and 7% had a graduate degree, respectively. Mothers reported on the Puberty Development Scale (1 = prepubertal; 2 = early pubertal; 3 = midpubertal; 4 = late pubertal; 5 = post-pubertal; Petersen, Crockett, Richards, & Boxer, 1988). Boys on average were prepubertal (M = 1.78, SD = .53), whereas girls were early pubertal (M = 2.33, SD = .59). Most children lived with their biological mother (n = 214); of these children, 57% (n = 123) also lived with their biological father, 18% (n = 38) lived with their mother’s partner (e.g., stepfather, boyfriend), and 25% (n = 53) lived with a single mother; the remaining 21 children lived in families with other structures. An additional 51 children participated in the larger study but were excluded from this analytic sample because of chronic physical illness, which often influences sleep (Ancoli-Israel, 2006); 41 had asthma and 10 had other illnesses (e.g., sickle cell, eczema, acid reflux, severe migraines, ulcers).

Procedure

In most cases actigraphs were mailed to families; in a few cases they were hand delivered. Parents were instructed to place the actigraph on the child’s nondominant wrist at bedtime for 7 consecutive nights and remove it each morning. To validate actigraphy assessments, children completed a sleep diary each day, which included reporting bed and wake times. To minimize confounds, participation occurred during the regular school year except on holidays. Families visited the laboratory after the completion of actigraphic assessments (M = 2.68 days, SD = 12.02) and returned the watches. Children completed questionnaires via an interview with a trained researcher and mothers reported on family
income and child ethnicity. The university institutional review board approved the study, and parents and children provided informed consent and assent. Children and parents were compensated monetarily for their participation. All assessments of primary study variables (income, sleep, and coping) were collected during the same study wave (i.e., third).

**Measures**

**Income-to-needs ratio.** Income-to-needs ratio is a standard measure of a family’s economic standing (U.S. Department of Commerce: [www.commerce.gov](http://www.commerce.gov)). Women reported on family household size in addition to family income using the following categories: (a) $10,000 to $20,000, (b) $20,000 to $35,000, (c) $35,000 to $50,000, (d) $50,000 to $75,000, and (e) more than $75,000. Income-to-needs ratio was computed by dividing the mean of family income range by the federal poverty threshold for that family’s household size (e.g., in 2011, a family of four with an annual income < $23,021 was considered to be living in poverty). An income-to-needs ratio ≤ 1 corresponds with poverty status (31% of the sample); 1 to 2 = living near the poverty line (21%); 2 to 3 = lower middle class (21%); and 3 to 4 = middle class to upper class income (14%); 13% of families had an income-to-needs ratio >4. Despite oversampling to recruit EAs and AAs across a wide range of economic backgrounds, ethnicity and income-to-needs ratio were associated (r = .44; ethnicity was dummy coded such that AA = 1 and EA = 0).

**Coping with stress.** Through interviews with a research assistant, children reported on the 10-item Support Coping Scale of the Children’s Coping Strategies Checklist (Program for Prevention Research, 1999). Responses provide a measure of coping in response to stress in general versus coping in response to a particular stressor. Children are read the following directions prior to completing the items: “Sometimes kids have problems or feel upset about things. When this happens, they may do different things to solve the problem or to make themselves feel better. Below is a list of things kids may do when faced with a problem. For each item, select a response that best describes how often you usually do the behavior when you have a problem. There are no right or wrong answers just indicate how often you usually do each thing in order to solve the problem or to make yourself feel better.” Examples of items include “When I have a problem, I talk to someone who might understand how I feel” and “When I have a problem, I figure out what I can do by talking with one of my friends.” Items are rated from 1 (never) to 4 (most of the time). Higher scores correspond with higher support coping strategies. This measure has good internal consistency and validity (Ayers, Sandler, West, & Roosa, 1996; Program for Prevention Research, 1999) and has been commonly used in the child development and coping literature (e.g., Sandler, Tein, Mehta, Wolchik, & Ayers, 2000). In this study α = .82.

**Sleep.** Octagonal Basic MotionLoggers and a corresponding software package (ActionW2; Ambulatory Monitoring Inc., Ardsley, NY) were used to measure motion in 1-min epochs using zero crossing mode; Sadeh’s scoring algorithm was used (Sadegh, Sharycky, & Carskadon, 1994). Actigraphy scoring was validated using sleep diaries (Acebo & Carskadon, 2001). The actigraph and software packages have demonstrated validity for the measurement of children’s sleep (Sadegh et al., 1994).

The assessment of different actigraphy-based sleep parameters is recommended (Sadegh et al., 2000). Thus, we examined the following well-established parameters: (a) sleep minutes—total minutes scored as sleep between sleep onset and wake time; and (b) sleep efficiency—% of epochs scored as sleep between sleep onset and wake time. Actigraphy variables were created by computing an average score across all available nights; 70% of children had actigraphy data for ≥5 nights, 15% had 3 or 4 nights, and 13% had 2 nights or fewer of data. Reasons for missing data included forgetting to wear the actigraph, mechanical problems, and medicine use (e.g., for headache or allergy) and these nights were excluded from analyses. Fewer than three nights of actigraphy assessment provides a poor estimation of regular sleep (Acebo et al., 1999), thus actigraphy data for children who had <3 nights of valid data were excluded from analyses (n = 30). Intraclass correlations showed good stability across the week for each sleep parameter: sleep minutes = .83 and sleep efficiency = .94.

**Plan of Analysis**

Path models were fit and all primary study variables (i.e., income, child ethnicity, support coping, sleep minutes, and sleep efficiency) were treated as observed variables. To reduce outlier effects, values that exceeded 3 SDs among study variables were recoded as the highest observed value below 3 SDs (Bush, Hess, & Wollford, 1993). This occurred for sleep minutes (n = 1) and sleep efficiency (n = 5). Sleep efficiency was skewed and natural log transformed.

Two models were fit. In the first model, the direct effects of child gender, ethnicity, income, and support coping on children’s sleep minutes and efficiency were estimated. Note that while examining relations between ethnicity and children’s sleep, income was controlled and vice versa. In addition, the interaction term, Income × Support Coping (see Figure 1) was included to examine whether support coping moderated relations between income and children’s sleep (while controlling for ethnicity). Sleep minutes and sleep efficiency were assessed simultaneously in the same model to account for their shared associations. The second model was identical in nature to the first model with the exception that the interaction term, Ethnicity × Support Coping, was included to examine whether support coping moderated relations between ethnicity and children’s sleep (while controlling for income). We elected to examine each interaction term in a separate model to reduce the likelihood of multicollinearity. Interactions were plotted at high and low (+1 SD) and low (−1 SD) levels of the predictor and moderator (Aiken & West, 1991), with the exception of ethnicity, which was treated as a categorical variable. Preacher, Curran, and Bauer’s (2006) interaction utility was used to plot interactions using estimates obtained from the fitted models.

We considered controlling for variables that have been associated with sleep in the literature, including child gender, pubertal status, and age. We used Δχ² tests to determine whether the control variables had a significant effect; for consistency across models, if the inclusion of a control variable resulted in a significant change in χ² in at least one model, the covariate was retained for all fitted models. Based on this criterion, child gender was controlled in the models and was allowed to correlate with income, ethnicity, the coping variables, and the interaction terms and was allowed to predict the sleep variables.
Analyses were conducted using AMOS 17. Full information maximum likelihood estimation was used to handle missing data (Acock, 2005). Nonsignificant covariances among exogenous variables were omitted from each model to increase degrees of freedom. The residual variances among endogenous variables were allowed to correlate. Acceptable model fit was based on satisfying at least two of the three following criteria: $\chi^2/df < 3$, confirmatory factor index (CFI) > .90, and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) ≤ .08 (Browne & Cudeck, 1993); both fitted models satisfied these criteria. In initial analyses, we examined exacerbation of risk by assessing three-way interactions between ethnicity, income, and support coping in the prediction of sleep; no significant interactions were detected. Similarly, initial analyses of moderation by gender did not yield significant findings.

**Results**

**Preliminary Analyses**

Descriptive statistics and correlations are provided in Table 1. The average amount of time in bed (sleep diary) was $\sim$8.10 hr per night and actual amount of sleep (actigraphy - sleep minutes) was $\sim$7.40 hr. Average sleep efficiency was below 90%, which is considered an indicator of poor sleep continuity (Sadeh et al., 2000).

![Figure 1](image-url) Examination of support coping as a moderator of associations between income-to-needs ratio and children's sleep minutes and sleep efficiency. Nonsignificant covariances among exogenous variables were omitted from the model. Residual variances among endogenous variables were allowed to correlate. Unstandardized and standardized coefficients (in parentheses) are provided. For ease of interpretation, statistically significant lines are solid whereas nonsignificant lines are dotted. Ethnicity is dummy coded such that 1 = African American and 0 = European American. Child gender is dummy coded such that 1 = boys and 0 = girls. Model fit: $\chi^2 = 8.68$, n.s., $df = 7$; $\chi^2/df = 1.24$; confirmatory factor index = .99; root mean square error of approximation = .03 n.s. $^* p < .10$. $^* * p < .05$. $^* * * p < .01$. $^* * * * p < .001$.

### Table 1

**Descriptive Statistics and Correlations Among Study Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Child gender</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Income-to-needs ratio</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Ethnicity</td>
<td>.96</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Support coping</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Sleep minutes</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Sleep efficiency</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Child gender (1 = boy, 0 = girl) and ethnicity (1 = African American, 0 = European American) were dummy coded.

$p < .10$. $^* p < .05$. $^* * p < .01$. $^* * * p < .001$. 
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Support Coping as a Moderator of Associations Between Income and Sleep

The model that examined support coping as a moderator of effects among relations between income and sleep was a good fit to the data. $\chi^2 = 8.68$, n.s., $df = 7$; $\chi^2/df = 1.24$; CFI = .99; RMSEA = .03 n.s. (see Figure 1). In total, the model explained 13% of the variance in sleep minutes and 8% of the variance in sleep efficiency. Regarding direct effects, male status was related to fewer sleep minutes and reduced sleep efficiency and accounted for 5% and 4% of unique variance, respectively. AA status was related to fewer sleep minutes and accounted for 4% of unique variance. In addition, greater support coping was moderately ($p = .08$) related to a higher sleep efficiency and accounted for 4% of unique variance.

Support coping moderated associations between income and sleep minutes. The interaction is depicted in Figure 3A, and accounted for 4% of unique variance in sleep minutes. For children from families with more monetary resources (higher income), predicted means for sleep minutes were high and did not differ based on the level of support coping ($M = 464$ min for those with low support coping, and $M = 462$ for those with high coping). However, for children from families with lower income, a large difference in sleep minutes was observed between children with low (435 min) and high support coping (470 min). As shown in the figure, children from lower income families with low support coping had the shortest sleep. Also, note that the association between economic adversity and sleep minutes was significant only for children with lower support coping strategies. This pattern of effects shows a protective function of support coping in the link between economic adversity and reduced sleep minutes.

Support Coping as a Moderator of Associations Between Ethnicity and Sleep

The model fit to examine the moderating role of support coping among relations between ethnicity and sleep was a good fit to the data, $\chi^2 = 14.50$, $p = .03$, $df = 6$; $\chi^2/df = 2.42$; CFI = .97; RMSEA = .07 n.s. (see Figure 2). The model explained 13% of the variance in sleep minutes and 14% of the variance in sleep efficiency. The direct relations of child gender and ethnicity on the sleep variables were nearly identical to those reported in Figure 1, with the exception that AA status was moderately related to reduced sleep efficiency ($R^2 = 4\%$) and support coping was not related to sleep minutes nor sleep efficiency. Both tested interaction effects were statistically significant.

Support coping moderated the relation between ethnicity and children’s sleep minutes (Figure 2; $\Delta R^2 = 4\%$). For children with
higher levels of support coping, greater sleep minutes were observed for both EAs ($M = 460$ min) and AAs ($M = 455$ min); greater sleep minutes was also observed for EAs with low support coping ($M = 458$) (Figure 4A). The fewest sleep minutes were found for AAs who reported low support coping ($M = 420$ min). Thus, high support coping was a protective factor against fewer sleep minutes for AA children.

Support coping moderated associations between ethnicity and children’s sleep efficiency, and accounted for 6% of unique variance. For children with high support coping, high levels of sleep efficiency were observed for both EAs ($M = 91.05$) and AAs ($M = 91.45$); high sleep efficiency was also observed for EAs with low coping ($M = 91.90$) (Figure 4B). However, the lowest sleep efficiency was found for AA children who reported low support coping ($M = 86.82$). Thus, a high level of coping was a protective factor against reduced sleep efficiency for AA children.

**Discussion**

Consistent with a health disparities framework, the literature shows increased sleep problems in AA children and those exposed to economic adversity. However, these sociocultural contexts do not result in disadvantage for all children, and factors that offer protection against such risks are operative for some children. Toward identifying variables that can protect children against sleep problems, we investigated support coping strategies as moderators of relations among both AA ethnicity and low income and sleep minutes and efficiency. Findings offer support for the proposition that a higher level of support coping when faced with stress provides protection against reduced sleep duration and poor sleep continuity otherwise associated with AA status and lower family income (the latter applies to sleep minutes not efficiency). Given the important role of adequate sleep duration and good sleep efficiency for positive outcomes in many child health domains, and the negative outcomes associated with poor sleep, findings are of potential significance.

As compared with individuals from higher SES homes, the literature generally shows shorter sleep duration and poorer sleep continuity among those from lower income homes (Krueger & Friedman, 2009; Stamatakis, Kaplan, & Roberts, 2007). Although children exposed to economic adversity have a disproportionate number and degree of sleep problems, moderation effects from this study illustrate that children’s support coping strategies influence the SES–sleep link. Specifically, children from both lower and higher income homes tended to have longer sleep minutes when they reported engaging in higher levels of support coping. However, the association between low income and worse sleep was only apparent for children who reported low levels of support coping. These findings are consistent with cumulative risk perspectives (e.g., Evans & English, 2002) in that low SES coupled with lower support coping was predictive of the shortest sleep minutes. This perspective may provide a plausible explanation for why children from higher SES homes did not accrue added benefit to their sleep when they engaged in higher levels of support coping; these children tended to have similar predicted means for sleep minutes regardless of coping. Thus, the coping–sleep link needs to be considered in the context of the broader sociocultural milieu, and identification of variables associated with SES (e.g., increased stress exposure) that may be tied to both sleep and coping is warranted. Prevention and intervention efforts aimed at facilitating support coping strategies for children exposed to cumulative stress may lead to better sleep in children.

Ethnicity has been related to sleep in children, with AAs showing poorer sleep (Adam et al., 2007; Crosby et al., 2005; Montgomery-Downs et al., 2003) even when SES is controlled (Buckhalt et al., 2007). In the present study, assessment of ethnicity controlled for SES and vice versa; however, the two were moderately associated. Across all sleep parameters, both EA and AA children with high support coping exhibited longer sleep duration and better sleep continuity. However, only AA children tended to have fewer sleep minutes and poorer sleep efficiency when they engaged in low support coping. Consequently, it appears that AA children benefited the most from a higher level of support coping, which functioned as a protective factor against their sleep disruptions. It is not clear why EA children did not benefit from high support coping in relation to their sleep minutes or efficiency; they tended to have good sleep regardless of their coping behavior. It is plausible that aggregation of risk (Evans & English, 2002) may explain these findings at least in part. For example, in the context of assumed lower stress (e.g., ethnic discrimination) experienced by children from ethnic majority backgrounds, social support may not be highly imperative for sleep. Further, the role of coping may be different in the context of the AA and EA subcultures. Thus, in such a scenario, the role of support coping may be context dependent. Interpretation of findings is obviously speculative.

![Figure 4](image)  
**Figure 4.** Support coping as a moderator of relations between ethnicity and children’s sleep. For slopes that differ from zero, the $p$ value is presented next to the slope. EA = European American; AA = African American.
Across all models, moderation effects were more pronounced than direct effects, and more variance in sleep parameters was accounted for with the incorporation of both income (see Figure 1) and ethnicity (see Figure 2) as moderators. Although the unique variance explained by the interaction effects is modest (albeit similar to the magnitude of such effects in the broader literature), the pattern of effects is of importance. Specifically, although children from families with more monetary resources tended to sleep longer (−462 to 464 min) regardless of their support coping, the sleep of those with fewer resources varied depending on whether they reported higher (470 min) or lower (435 min) levels of support coping. A daily average of a 35-min difference in sleep time could lead to a serious accumulated sleep deprivation problem. It has been demonstrated in an experimental study that extending or restricting sleep by close to 40 min on 3 consecutive nights led to striking differences in neurobehavioral functioning in memory and attention in the sleep-restricted group (Sadeh, Gruber, & Raviv, 2003). Similarly, the effect of coping on sleep efficiency in the context of ethnicity was significant indicating that AAs who had higher levels of support coping tended to have much higher sleep efficiency (91.45%) than those with lower levels of support coping (86.2%). A sleep efficiency cutoff below 90% has been proposed for the definition of poor sleep (Sadeh et al., 2002; Sadeh et al., 2000). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that poor sleep using this definition is associated with compromised neurobehavioral functioning and behavior problems (Sadeh et al., 2002). Therefore, the effect seen in our study appears to reflect potentially important differences between good and poor sleepers and not just statistical significance.

Results lend additional support to theories about the moderating role of coping style in the links between sleep and stress (Sadeh, 1996; Sadeh & Gruber, 2002; Sadeh et al., 2004). In a quasi-experimental design, Sadeh et al. (2004) examined whether emotion- and problem-focused coping moderated relations between stress exposure and sleep among undergraduate college students applying for graduate school. Greater emotion-focused coping predicted fewer actigraphy measured sleep minutes from a low- (i.e., regular academic week) to high-stress period (i.e., the week the students were being evaluated for acceptance into graduate school). Thus, although findings from this investigation and that of Sadeh et al. (2004) may be contradictory, the methods were different. For example, in Sadeh et al. (2004), assessment of emotion-focused coping was based on the extent to which an individual regulated their emotional responses to the stressor (e.g., I let my feelings out) as opposed to seeking emotional support from others, which is the focus of the current study.

Our findings may have clinical implications for stress-related interventions during child development. If support coping with stressful events protects the sleep of children, especially those who are likely exposed to many stressors in their lives such as children from low-income homes, then encouraging or training children in adopting this strategy should be considered an important feature of prevention and intervention efforts. When considering the positive effects of parental and social support during stressful times, and the many positive health outcomes associated with optimal sleep, the ability to actively seek and “invite” social support may be important target skills for child well-being.

The study has limitations that need to be considered when interpreting the findings. Characteristics of this semirelational community sample limit generalization of results to children with clinically significant sleep problems or those residing in urban areas that may be exposed to a different set of variables associated with ethnicity and economic adversity. Identification of SES- and ethnicity-related variables that may underlie their associations with sleep is critical; many questions arise as to why these associations exist in this study and in the literature at large. Factors associated with SES (e.g., physical environment in the home and the community including noise, temperature, and violence) and ethnicity (e.g., preference for cosleeping arrangements, discrimination, and fluidity in both the definition of the family and where one sleeps) are potential targets for assessment. Although identification of these factors are likely to enhance intervention efforts, it is noteworthy that children’s support coping emerged as a protective factor facilitating longer sleep duration and better sleep continuity, even in contexts that are frequently associated with health disparities.
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